Bayes Rule and Bayesian Probability

PUBH 8442: Bayes Decision Theory and Data Analysis

Eric F. Lock UMN Division of Biostatistics, SPH elock@umn.edu

01/22/2025

PUBH 8442: Bayes Decision Theory and Data Analysis Bayes Rule and Bayesian Probability

Basic probability

- Think of "Probability" as a function that assigns a real number to an *event*
- ▶ For events E and F in probability space H, a probability function P satisfies

P1
$$0 \le P(E) \le 1$$
 for all E.
P2 $P(H) = 1$.
P3 $P(E \cup F) = P(E) + P(F)$ if $E \cap F = \phi$

Objectively:

- ▶ *H* represents all possible outcomes for a given situation
- $\blacktriangleright \phi$ represents no outcomes
- ▶ E and F each represent a subset of outcomes
- \triangleright \cup represents the union of events (*E* or *F*)
- \blacktriangleright \cap represents the intersection of events (*E* and *F*)

Basic probability

• If $E \cap F = \phi$, the events are *disjoint*

Also called mutually exclusive.

The complement of an event E ("NOT" E) is Ē or E^c.
E ∩ Ē = φ, E ∪ Ē = H
From P3, P(Ē) = 1 − P(E).

Conditional Probability of E given F:

$$P(E \mid F) = \frac{P(E \cap F)}{P(F)}$$

Sometimes we write EF or E, F for $E \cap F$.

Basic probability

• E and F independent if P(E | F) = P(E).

Occurrence of F does not influence probability of E.

▶ The *multiplication rule* for independent events:

P(EF) = P(E)P(F).

• If
$$P(E | F) = P(E)$$
, then $P(F | E) = P(F)$.

▶ Marginalization: Express P(E) by "marginalizing" over F:

$$P(E) = P(EF) + P(E\overline{F})$$

= P(F)P(E | F) + P(\overline{F})P(E | \overline{F}).

► Bayes' Theorem (Bayes' rule):

$$P(F \mid E) = \frac{P(F)P(E \mid F)}{P(E)}.$$

Often written as:

$$P(F \mid E) = \frac{P(F)P(E \mid F)}{P(F)P(E \mid F) + P(\overline{F})P(E \mid \overline{F})}$$

•

Example: Breast Cancer Screening

- Consider the following:
 - 1% of women aged 40 who get a mammography have breast cancer
 - 80% of women with breast cancer get positive mammographies
 - 9.6% of women without breast cancer get positive mammographies
- What is the probability that a 40 year old women with positive mammography has cancer?

Bayesian vs. frequentist probability

- ► The frequentist probability of an event is the limit of its relative frequency as its number of trials approaches ∞.
 - "What happens if random process repeated many times"
- In frequentist inference, we condition on unknown parameters, and find the probability of our data given these parameters.

 $P(\mathbf{y} \mid \theta)$

- **y** representa data, θ model parameters.
- > Parameters considered fixed and data considered random.

Bayesian vs. frequentist probability

- Bayesian probability quantifies a current degree of belief
- Need not consider repeating a process
 - ▶ e.g., "there is a 30% chance polio will be eradicated by 2020"
- In Bayesian inference we condition on the data, and find the probability of unknown parameters, given the data

$P(\theta|\mathbf{y})$

Parameters considered random and data considered fixed

Example: tipping pennies

- Claim: If you stand a penny on its side and gently let it fall, it will land heads more often than tails.
 - H₀: head/tails are equally likely
 - H₁: heads is more likely
- In 20 trials, X = 15 land heads.
- Frequentist approach:
 - P-value = $P(X \ge 15 | H_0) \approx 0.02$

- "If we repeat 20 trials many times, we would observe 15 or more heads only 2% of the time if H_0 is true"
- Small p-value \rightarrow evidence against H_0 .

Bayesian approach:

► By Bayes' rule:

$$P(H_0|X = 15) = \frac{P(X = 15 \mid H_0)P(H_0)}{P(X = 15 \mid H_0)P(H_0) + P(X = 15 \mid H_1)P(H_1)}$$

- Must compute $P(X = 15 | H_1)$. Requires more info for H_1
- Must specify $P(H_0)$, our *prior* probability of H_0
- $P(H_0|X = 15)$ is the *posterior* probability of H_0
- This "updating" of prior to posterior characterizes Bayesian inference

Historical timeline

- ▶ c. 1750: Thomas Bayes first derives Bayes' theorem.
- Early 1800's: Pierre-Simon Laplace develops the notion of Bayesian probability
- Early 1900's: Statistics "founders" K. Pearson, R.A Fisher, J. Neyman and others develop frequentist approaches

Shun Bayesian probability and inference

- ▶ 1950's and 1960's: H. Jeffries, L.J. Savage, D. Lindley and others advocate Bayesian approaches
 - The "Bayesian revival"
- 1980's-: Advances in computing technology and methodology facilitates adoption of Bayes for wide variety of situations.
 - Still not without controversy.

Quotes

- "My personal conviction, is that the theory of inverse probability [Bayesianism] is founded upon an error and must be rejected." R.A. Fisher, 1925.
- "That question just doesn't make sense. Probability applies to a long sequence of repeatable events, and this is clearly a unique situation.." D. Blackwell, 1950, asked for the probability of a war within the next 5 years.
- "Uncertainty is a personal matter; it is not THE uncertainty but YOUR uncertainty." D. Lindley, 2006.
- ▶ "Today's posterior is tomorrows prior." D. Lindley, 1970.
- "Under Bayes' theorem, no theory is perfect. Rather, it is a work in progress, always subject to further refinement and testing." N. Silver, 2011.

Frequentist vs. Bayesian

- ► Criticism of Bayesian probability/inference:
 - Too subjective, prone to personal biases
 - ▶ Choice of "prior" may be unclear or arbitrary
 - Lack of consistency: different analyses of same data can give different results.
- Praise for Bayesian probability/inference
 - Broader conceptual framework, applies to more diverse situations involving chance.
 - Easily allows for incorporation of subjective belief and prior information.
 - More flexible incorporation of uncertainty for complex models.

Frequentist vs. Bayesian



Frequentist vs. Bayesian



PUBH 8442: Bayes Decision Theory and Data Analysis

Objective vs. subjective Bayesianism

- An objective Bayesian
 - minimizes influence of personal beliefs, maximizes influence of data, on inference.
 - espouse non-informative priors (e.g., $P(H_0) = 0.5$).
 - generally believe rational people (or machines) should make the same inferences when presented with the same data.
 - "the chance of temperatures below -5° F tomorrow is 20%"
- A subjective Bayesian
 - believes in "personal probability" two people may give different but valid probability statements based on their personal understanding.
 - is more comfortable with strong prior beliefs influencing inference.
 - "I believe there is a 20% chance of temps below -20° F tomorrow".

- Bayesian approaches are rapidly gaining acceptance in several fields
 - Articles containing the word "Bayes" or "Bayesian" have steadily increased from near 0% in 1950s to over 50% for several journals in statistics, bioinformatics, econometrics and machine learning.
- Many today are not avidly "frequentist", "subjective Bayesian" or "objective Bayesian".
 - Choose the framework that is best suited for a given application or method.
- ▶ Theoretical and philosophical debates still linger...